



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,667	09/23/2003	Kohtaro Ohba	AIS-0012	9102
23353 RADER FISH	7590 01/26/2007 MAN & GRAUER PLLC		AIS-0012 9102 EXAMINER EGAN, SCOTT T	INER
LION BUILDING		EGAN, SCOTT T		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASIMAGIO	71, 50 20000		AIS-0012 9102 EXAMINER EGAN, SCOTT T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBIT 2609 DELIVERY MODE	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ıt(s)	
	10/667,667	OHBA, KOHTARO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Scott Egan	2621		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
Period for Reply	V. 0. 057 TO 5VD105 - 1401	T. ((0) 0D T. ((DT) ((00) DA) (0		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.5	Sentember 2003			
· - · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		·		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the specific at the				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is	• • • • •	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	mice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		•		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Appl	ication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been red	eived in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.		
	·			
Attachment(s)		,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/2004 and 3/10/2004.	5)	nal Patent Application		
aper no(s), ivian bate wiwzoo4 and s to/zoo4.		<u></u>		

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the original application filed on September 23, 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are currently pending in this application. Claim 1 is independent.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 10, 2004 and August 18, 2004 was filed. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9 line 16 the disclosure reads "via the internal bas 34", it should be change to conform to the rest of the specification saying "via the internal bus 34". On page 11, line 6 the

disclosure reads, "the art will readily appreciated" and should be changed to "the art will readily appreciate".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawabe et al. (JP 01024205 A).

Consider **claim 1**, Kawabe et al. explicitly teach "a fiber array camera (optical imaging system fig 1) comprising:

- a plurality of optical fibers (see constitution, many optical fibers 7);
- a fiber assembly unit (optical fiber photodetecting body 6) in which the optical fibers are bundled together (see figure 1) and an image pickup surface comprising end surfaces of the optical fibers is formed (optical fibers 7 are set to be on the same axis as the lens forming a photodetecting surface);
- a light receiving lens (see figure 1, lens 1) for focusing an image of an object on the image pickup surface (the light rays pass through the lens 1 onto the photodetecting surface as seen in figure 1); and

Art Unit: 2621

a plurality of light receiving elements (photodetecting diodes 8), each of which is connected to one of the optical fibers and receives an optical signal for one pixel therefrom (see figure 1, each fiber 7 corresponds to photodetecting element 8)."

Consider **claim 2**, Kawabe et al. explicitly teach "the fiber array camera according to claim 1, wherein the image pickup surface (photodetecting surface) is part of an arc or spherical surface (arbitrarily curved surface 5) whose center is a point on an optical axis of the light receiving lens (as seen in figure 1 the center of the curved surface 5 is on the same axis 2 as the lens 1)."

8. Claims 1, 3, 4/1 and 4/3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zurl (DE 41 06 175 A1).

Consider claim 1, Zurl explicitly teaches "a fiber array camera (ultra high speed camera) comprising:

a plurality of optical fibers (glass fibers 4);

a fiber assembly unit (holder 2) in which the optical fibers are bundled together and an image pickup surface comprising end surfaces of the optical fibers is formed (an event observed is imaged by means of the lens 1 on the entrance aperture of the glass fibers, page 5, paragraph 5);

a light receiving lens (lens 1) for focusing an image of an object on the image pickup surface (an event observed is imaged by means of the lens 1 on the entrance aperture of the glass fibers, page 5, paragraph 5); and

a plurality of light receiving elements (photodiodes 17, figure 2, in each IC the partial image is first converted into analog electric signals by means of photodiodes,

Art Unit: 2621

page 5, paragraph 7), each of which is connected to one of the optical fibers and receives an optical signal for one pixel therefrom (there may be p fiber ends in a square arrangement, in this manner the conditions for imaging with p picture element are fulfilled, page 5, paragraph 5)."

Consider **claim 3**, Zurl explicitly teaches "the fiber array camera according to claim I, wherein the end surfaces are in hound's-tooth arrangement (should the goal be an image with high resolution, but relatively small image field, the fiber ends in the entrance plane are arranged in the shape of a hexagonal close package, page 3, paragraph 6)."

Consider claim 4/1 and 4/3, Zurl explicitly teaches "The fiber array camera as in claim 1 or 3, further comprising a preamplifier for converting output current of the light receiving elements into voltage, an A/D converter for converting the voltage into digital signals (the optoelectronic receivers can be designed as integrates circuits, which contain...an amplifier and an A/D converter, page 4, paragraph 1), a memory for successively storing the digital signals (the provided memory mediums are digital and are organized in such a manner that behind each receiver there is a partial storage with large capacity for one picture element, page 5, paragraph 1), and an image signal output unit in which the image signal output unit reads out the digital signals so as to form a digital image signal of the object (page 5, paragraph 2 explains how the information that is stored in the memory can be analyzed by a computer therefore it must be output to that computer, page 7, paragraph 1 also shows that the image

Art Unit: 2621

information is put onto a bus 13 which is connected to an interface 14 that can output the data, see figure 2)."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. Claim 4/2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe et al. (JP 01024205 A) in view of Zurl (DE 41 06 175 A1).

Consider **claim 4/2**, Kawabe et al. explicitly teach the fiber array camera as in claim 2.

However, Kawabe et al. do not explicitly teach the use of a preamplifier for converting the output to a voltage, an A/D converter for converting the voltage to a digital signal, a memory for storing the digital signals, and a image signal output unit four outputting a digital image signal of the object.

In the same field of endeavor, Zurl teaches an ultra high speed camera with fast computer coupled analysis. Zurl further teaches that the optoelectronic receivers can be designed as integrates circuits, which contain...an amplifier and an A/D converter on page 4, in paragraph 1. Zurl further teaches that the provided memory mediums are digital and are organized in such a manner that behind each receiver there is a partial storage with large capacity for one picture element on page 5, in paragraph 1. Zurl further teaches on page 5, in paragraph 2 that the information that is stored in the memory can be analyzed by a computer therefore it must be output to that computer and on page 7, in paragraph 1 also shows that the image information is put onto a bus 13 which is connected to an interface 14 that can output the data, see figure 2.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the electronic receivers including amplifier, A/D converter, memory, and output means into the optical system taught in Zurl in order to provide a fast computer-coupled analysis without long and expensive development steps (abstract of Zurl).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Korein (US 2002/0096629) discloses a fiber optic image mapping apparatus that consists of a light focusing lens and image plane starting with one end of a bundle of optical fibers, whose other end is connected to the image sensor. Abell et

Art Unit: 2621

al. (US 4,323,925) discloses a apparatus for arraying image sensor modules, which includes an imaging lens which light passes to a collection of optical fiber bundles, which then send the light to the image sensors. Fujieda (2003/0062490) discloses an imaging device which includes a holder that contains a lens and a fiber optic bundle that is connected to the image sensors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Egan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 270-1455. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2621

SE

PATRICK N. EDOUARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9